(b) whether it is a fact that the current selling price of bulk drug Ranitidine is only Rs. 1,800 per kg. and M/s. Glaxo and M/s. Cadila are purchasing this bulk drug from the outside parties at this rate;

(c) whether it is a fact that the price of formulations being charged by them are exhorbitant and no efforts have been made to co-relate their prices of formulations with the actual procurement price of bulk drug; and

(d) whether it is a fact that these companies are giving schemes to the wholesaler and chemists and looting the public with the due support of Govern ment ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO : (a) The selling prices of Ranitidine formulations were fined on 17-3-1988. While fixing these prices bulk drug import prices of Rs. 4,396 Kg. of Ranitidine was allowed.

(b) and (c) BICP are in process of conducting the Cost-cum-Technical study.

(d) No such complaint has been received by the Government.

Parallel drug pricing body

3076. SHRI RAINI RANJAN SAHU : DR. NARRFDDY THULASI REDDY:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the News item which appeared in Financial Express on 17th July, 1993 under the heading "Plan for parallel drug pricing body";

(b) if so, what are the details of such a proposal;

(c) when was such proposal discussed and the details thereof;

(d) whether such a system exist in any other country and whether any study has been made on this proposal, if so, the details thereof ; and

(e) whether Government have taken any steps to circulate this proposal in Parliament for discussion and how such a proposal differ from the background note on pricing circulated earlier to Parliament ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO) : (a) There is no news item under the heading "Plan for parallel drug pricing body" published in the Financial Express, New Delhi Edition of 17th July, 1993 However, news item with the heading "Government to retain control over drug prices" published in the Financial Express on 17th July, 1993 has come to the notice of the Government. The report in this news item, that the Government is planning to set up a parallel body on the lines of BICP to exclusively deal with fixing of prices of various drugs is without any basis.

(b) to (e) Do not arise.

Action against overcharging the price of drugs

3077. SHRIMATI SATYA BAHIN: SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU : SHRI ANANT RAM JAISWAL :

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether any fine or imprisonment have been imposed on anybody for over-charging the price of bulk drug or a formulation under DPCO 1987 ;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) whether any violation of the provisions of DPCO 1987 has taken place so far, if so, what are the details of each case and what action has been taken for each ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO) : (a) to (c) To the extent information is available, the State Drug Controller of Maharashtra has filed 9 ' cases in the Court of law and the State Drug Controller of Gujarat has initiated action against 3 companies for violation of the provisions of DPCO, 1987.

Cases of violation of the Provisions of DPCO, 1987 are referred to the concerned State Drug Controller/Food & Drug Control Administration and they are empowered to take action under the DPCO, 1987 and the Essential Commodities Act, 1955.

Marketing Executive (IDPL)

3078. SHRIMATI SARALA MAHESH WARI : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to answer given to the Unstarred question Nos. 1119 on 7th May, 1992, 2259 on 30th July, 1992, 4597, 4598 and 4622 on 20th August, 1992, 2910 on 18th March, 1993 and Starred Question No. 70 on 29th April, 1993 in Rajya Sabha and state :

(a) whether in reply to part (d) of the Starred Question No. 70. given in the Rajya Sabha on 29th April, 1993, the said information given here was hidden in the replv of Dart (e) and (f) of the Unstarred Question No. 4598, dated 20th August. 1992 with reasons therefor ;